

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS RO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.aspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,255	02/26/2002 7596 07/02/2003	Takehiko Nishikawa	219994US0	3	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER DICUS, TAMRA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1774		
		DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					31			
/ .		Application No.		Applicant(s)	//			
ď		10/082,255		NISHIKAWA ET A	// `			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	V			
		Cynthia H. Kelly		1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 F	ebruary 2002 .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-f	inal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)								
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PTC				
J.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		tion Summary		Part of Paper No. 3				

Art Unit: 1774

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The applicant has not shown how the porous sheet is inserted into the adhesive layer. No description is given of this step in the specification.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear as to whether a sheet having pores is actually inserted into the adhesive. It appears that the porous sheet is either added on top or underneath the adhesive. It also appears the porous sheet (if it is a sheet) can be mixed into the adhesive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/082,255

Art Unit: 1774

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sekidou et al, US Patent 5,034,268, and further in view of Bodager et al, US Patent 6,197,409. Sekidou provides for a laminated printing media consisting of three layers: a printing ink receiving surface layer, a support layer, and a porous primer layer bonding the first two. (See Column 2, Lines 43-49.) The bonding layer is an adhesive. The bonding primer layer contains irregularities in the form of open and/or closed cells and pores, which provides the water passing property, as per instant Claims 2 and 3. (See Column 2, Lines 61-68). This property would also permit the passage of water vapor. Sekidou does not provide for a release sheet as a substrate, as per instant Claim 1. However, Bodager et al, of analogous art, teaches an inkjet media which contains a substrate, water absorbing layer and adhesive ink receiving layer. Bodager also shows that the media substrate can be a release layer. (See Column 11, Lines 55-56). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a release layer in addition to a substrate to provide the capability of transferring the print media to another substrate. Bodager shows the conventionality of transferring ink jet print media to permanent supports for the purpose of using in signs or banners. (See Column 3, lines 28-40. Also see Column 11, lines 47-62.)

Although Sekidou nor Bodager teach that the sheet is water resistant, the sheet of Sekidou would be expected to be water resistant as it is made of the same materials which applicant uses. The adhesive of Sekidou is the same as applicant mentions throughout the specification. Additionally, Sekidou teaches an ink receiving porous sheet just like the applicant. Therefore, the same properties would be expected, absent any evidence to the contrary.

Application/Control Number: 10/082,255

Art Unit: 1774

Regarding Claim 4, Sekidou does not specifically refer to inserting a sheet with pores into the adhesive layer. However, Sekidou does insert porous material, such as hollow bodies of microspheres or glass beads as explained in Column 3, lines 5-7. Although no sheet is inserted, materials having the same properties or resulting in the same properties as those claimed are used by the reference. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the claimed invention adding porous materials into the adhesive because they provide the water passing necessary to make the sheet water resistant.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Dicus whose telephone number is (703) 305-3809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia H. Kelly, can be reached on (703) 308-0449. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0661.

CYNTHIA H. KELLY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Cynth H Keely